Washington Academic Middle School

Chrons

National School to Watch 1705 10th Street, Sanger, CA 93657 Phone 559.524.7015 Fax 559.875.6365



Principal - Jamie Nino
Vice-Principals - Mark Coleman * Amy Jones * Jimmy Robles
Yolanda Shahbazian * Sokunveary Siv

March 4, 2015 Instructional Rounds

From: Siv, Speer, Chung, Cuellar, Marquez, Mouanoutoua, Velazquez, Waite and Watkins

Thank you for allowing us to learn from you through Instructional Rounds. During our visit, we observed the following instructional strategies:

Student Academic Collaboration		 Pro/Con activity, students were given wait time to think of different pros and cons so they were ready to discuss with partner. Tied to evidence that they have been doing with articles previously in their unit. Students reading to each other and looking for mistakes. Students charted their progress to see if they made improvements. Students were talking about dependent clauses, students were given a paragraph and they had to reword and change it around so the paragraph was clear. Teacher gave sample paragraph to have students compare to their own paragraph – similarities and differences and WHY it was written that way
		 Pro/Con rebuttals, students had to be ready for what they would say back to the person. Pro/Con T-chart as a tool for students. Social Studies Students shared out their opinions about how phones would evolve 20 years from now. Students had questions that they were answering using an article, next they had questions and had to answer them without using their resources. Do it, Hide it and Hide it again. It was not just transferring information. Students were having dialogue about the branches of government.
Core Action 2	NARRIOR	 Unit had checklists, rubrics, lessons were step by step and flowed nicely Pro/Con activity directions modeled with students, showing non-example. Speaker and Director Role, switching back and forth. Challenged students to go back and forth between Pro and Con Peer editing, rubric provided for things to look for with mechanical and content errors. Gave a peer editing paper so students knew what to look for Student gave 0-5 on hands to communicate with the teacher the
	Prepared	time needed to accomplish the task. **Respectful Integrity Dedicated Excellence**





National School to Watch
1705 10th Street, Sanger, CA 93657 Phone 559.524.7015 Fax 559.875.6365



Principal - Jamie Nino Vice-Principals - Mark Coleman & Amy Jones & Jimmy Robles Yolanda Shahbazian & Sokunveary Siv

Core Action 2 Continued	 Thumbs up thumbs down to check for understanding. Wrote numbers next to where they needed to critique the peer paper, then on a different paper they separated comments into content or mechanical. Series of 7 prompts to choose from in the pro/con activity. Teacher used student work to model how to edit a paper. Social Studies Time given for grade level work, students working in groups Showed a video from the 50's, teacher was narrating during the movie to point things out. Teacher walked around to guide students' conversation. Teacher used students' statements or writings to reteach.
Other Practices and what we WANT to implement.	 Instead of using partner A or partner B go first, teacher said whoever has lighter colored shirt goes first or shortest/longest hair, etc. Had students stand so teacher can define the student role in the activity. Using LAMP and altering it to CAMP (Checking All Math Problems). Having a checklist to match the problem. Utilizing peer editing to do with math word problems. Doing it whole class and having students think cognitively on how they are answering the question. Checklists of what to look for with math problems Utilizing T-Charts to have students chart what they did correctly and what they did incorrectly. Separate students' work on wall by period. Grade reports with missing assignments (visual example). Use the idea of picking partners based off of different things instead of Partner A or Partner B.

