School: FMS			What did we learn from the formative assessments?								
Content Area: ELA											
Grade Level: 8			Reginning refree	her of plot and ho	w it impacts story	line with a lees dif	ficult text to deter	mine who needs s	ome basic		
Unit: 1			remediation prior	r to beginning mor	e difficult texts	iiic with a icss dii	iledit text to deteri	mile who needs s	onic basic		

# SMART Goal(s)

80% of students will Meet or Exceed based on our determineation that an 80% or higher have demonstaretd mastery of the skill

#### Essential Standard(s)

Analyze how dialogue and/or incidents propel the action, reveal aspects of a character, or provoke a decision; determine the impact of contextual influences on setting, plot, and characters.

### Supporting Standard(s)

#### Formative Assessment #1 Data

Assessment Results	Number of students	What do we see when looking at the Data?
Does Not Meet	7 (MP) 7 (PA) 3(RS)	they need remediation to refresh basics of plot development
Approaches	11 (MP) 6 (PA) 13(RS)	they need remediation to refresh basics of plot development; some understanding is demonstrated
Meets	10 (MP) 21 (PA) 10(RS)	Have demonstrated a good grasp on basic plot development but have room for growth which will be addressed with the next text
Exceeds	6 (MP) 11(PA) 8(RS)	Capable of assisting students who are not understanding; capable of modeling thinking while we read and discuss in class

#### Which students did not master the essential standard being assessed?

Brahnan, KeShawn, Duneshka, Alexis, Madyson, Cash, Raquel (MP) Laura-Bell, Teshawn, Terrance, Ty'rese, Itzel, Nnedria, Ryan (PA) Zaniah, Larry, Caden (RS)

How will we provide extra time and support for unlearned skills? What steps will we take to reteach content? How will we check for success? Tutoring to discuss questions from quiz and answer choices; revisit cornell notes to determine if students were comprehending and noting key events as we read and discussed; model more annotating/ close reading strategies

### Which students demonstrated mastery on the skills being assessed?

See grade chart for specific names- anyone with an 60% or higher we determined to have shown mastery on this assessment

We have scaffolded cornell notes for the next text by providing a few questions to get students started and having them develop their own based on what they determine to be important

## Do we need to make any edits to this formative assessment?

Not based on the data

# Which instructional strategies proved effective? Do any strategies need to be adjusted?

Cornell notes; class discussion; plot diagram; close reading/ annotating text

Scaffolding helped struggling students. I reminded them of key elements and helped them begin by finding a way to answer with words from the question.

#### Formative Assessment #2 Data

#### SMART Goal(s)

80% of students will Meet or Exceed based on our determineation that an 80% or higher have demonstrated mastery of the skill.

## Essential Standard(s)

Standard 5: Determine meaning and develop logical interpretations by making predictions, inferring, drawing conclusions, analyzing, synthesizing, providing evidence, and investigating multiple interpretations Supporting Standard(s) 5.1 Cite the evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

Assessment Results	Number of students	What do we see when looking at the Data?
Does Not Meet	15 (RS) 4(PA) 3(MP)	These students need to be refreshed on how to write an ACE response and on what the prompt was asking for.; missing more than one component
Approaches	11 (RS) 5(PA)	These students understood parts of the prompt and how to write an ACE, but they did not pursure deeper thinking.
Meets	5 (RS) 9(PA) 16 (MP)	These students understood the prompt and how to write an ACE, but they did not pursure deeper thinking.
Exceeds	0 (RS) 19(PA) 18 (MP)	These students demonstrated an understanding of the ACE stategy to answer questions which will be able to be applied to TDA writing as we transition into that later in the units.

# Which students did not master the essential standard being assessed?

Darryl, Richard, Kathryn, Gabriel, Destinee', l'Jaya, Zaniah, Larry, Jessiah, Joshua, D. Anthony, Talon, Caden, Seanjohn, Alex (RS); Teshawn Furtick, Samantha Seeby, Ty'Rese Williams, Malaki Dominguez (PA); Chris Booth, Timothy Taylor, Samuel Gray (MP)

How will we provide extra time and support for unlearned skills? What steps will we take to reteach content? How will we check for success? Tutoring, revisiting ACE format and expectations, modeling good ACE responses and teaching inferencing. This skills will be practiced multiple times each nine weeks.

# Which students demonstrated mastery on the skills being assessed?

See charts; students who scored a 3 or above achieved mastery.

## How will we support these learners in need of enrichment?

We will push these students to expand their analytical portion of their responses. We will model deeper thinking and help them demontsarte implicit understanding.

## Do we need to make any edits to this formative assessment?

Yes; question probably needs to be reworded or an alternative ACE prompt may need to be written.

# Which instructional strategies proved effective? Do any strategies need to be adjusted?

Shearer/ Pearce/ Agosin: need to do more modeling of effective ACEs and teaching inferencing/reading between the lines and clarifying expectations.

Agosin/ Pearce/ Shearer: Scaffolding for struggling students. Reminded them of key points and helped them begin by finding a way to answer with words from the quest

## Formative Assessment #3 Data

#### SMART Goal(s) 80% of students will Meet or Exceed based on our determineation that 80% or higher have demonstaretd mastery of the skill. Essential Standard(s)

Standard 5. Determine meaning and develop logical interpretations by making predictions, inferring, drawing conclusions, analyzing, synthesizing, providing evidence, and investigating multiple interpretations. Supporting Standard(s)

## 5.1 Cite the evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

Assessment Results	Number of students	What do we see when looking at the Data?			
Does Not Meet	3 (MP) 3 (PA) 0 (RS)	These students need to be refreshed on how to write an ACE response and on what the prompt was asking for.; missing more than one com	ponent		
Approaches	6 (MP) 5(PA) 10 (RS)	These students understood parts of the prompt and how to write an ACE, but they did not pursure deeper thinking.			
Meets	18 (MP) 8 (PA) 15 (RS)	These students understood the prompt and how to write an ACE, but they did not pursure deeper thinking.			
Exceeds	10 (MP) 19 (PA) 10 (RS)	These students demonstrated an understanding of the ACE stategy to answer questions which will be able to be applied to TDA writing as w	e transition into th	at later in the units	s.

					1		1	1	
	Which students did not		tial atomaloud bair						
			tiai standard bei	ng assessed?					
	Cash, Samuel, KeShawn	(MP)							
				-1-11-0 1411-4 -					
	How will we provide ext				•				
	Tutoring, revisiting ACE for	ormat and expectati	ions, modeling go	od ACE respons	ses and teaching	interencing. This s	Kilis Will be practi	cea multiple time	s each nine weeks
	Mileb stadents demonstra	-444	Ab Lillia b - las						
	Which students demons							and Albanian Con	
	See Chart; ACE required	compare/ contrast	so depending who	at was omitted fr	om their respons	e determined the	category we place	ed them in	
	How will we support the								
	We will push these studer	nts to expand their	analytical portion	of their response	es. We will model	deeper thinking a	nd help them den	nontsarte implici	understanding.
	Do we need to make an				4- 11			W b	
	Having to compare and c	contrast in one respo	onse was difficult	for many studen	its. No edits- just	prior to completing	next time, we wi	ii be sure to outli	ne what is expecte
	Which instructional stra				to be adjusted?				
	Annotations, close-reading	ng. No strategies ne	ed to be adjusted	1.	1	1	1	1	1
			0	ve Assessme					
	1		Summan						
Assessment Results Does Not Meet	Number of students 14 (PA) 11 (MP) 10 (RS)	These students did	d not domonotrate			n looking at the l			
	13 (PA) 7 (MP) 3 (RS)	These students de					• • •		
Approaches Meets		t							
Exceeds	12 (PA) 12 (MP) 12 (RS)	These students de				couple areas ries	ding extra work.		
Exceeds	6 (PA) 5 (MP) 6 (RS)	These students de	monstrate compi	ete mastery or u	TIIL I ELA SKIIIS.				
	Which students did not		standarda 2 Maa	4haua an assau	tial atomdord the	t had a lawar law	al of masters the	an athana?	
	Belle, Brahnan, Brooklyn,								Zaniah l' lava Do
	Delic, Brannan, Brooklyn,	, resnawn, bullesn	na, Allison, Alexis	, Gilloc, Gasil, a	Jamaei, Rainyll (I	ii , Oillega, Calet	, Anustika, i laley	, ocssian, Edily,	Laman, I Jaya, De
	How will we provide ext	tra time and sunna	ort for unlearned	ekille? What a	tone will we take	to re-teach con	ent? How will w	e check for suc	coss?
	We will pull students to go				•				
	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o unough the test a	no unanyzo wilati	IIII330u aliu	a, to determine	sasoning with	Cladelila. Daseu	on triese discus	Jones, we will know
	Which students master	ed the skills?							
	See chart.								
	How will we support the	ese learners in nee	ed of enrichment	1?					
	We always expect studen				king in journal res	ponses. The stude	ents with higher s	kills will be push	ed further to extend
	,,		,	,	J ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		paon	The state of the s
	Do we need to make an	y edits to the Sum	mative assessm	ent?					
	We edited the old CFA to	•			ents. Vocabularv	continues to be a	concern.		
	Which instructional stra	ategies proved effe	ective? Do anv s	trategies need	to be adjusted?				
	We will need to continue	• •				g context clues. A	nnotating, close i	reading, eliminat	ng answers all
	seemed to be effective. 7							•	-