Unit Title:	
Time Frame (dates):	



<u>PLC INFORMATION</u>					
Unit Plan Developed by: (teacher names)					
PLC Course Name:		PLC Facilitator:			
PLC Recorder:		_			
Meeting Date Men	nbers Absent	Section Discussed (1-4)	Action Steps for Next Meeting (brief agenda)		
SECTION 1: DESIG	<u>SN</u>				
		ts learn in this u	nit?		
Content Standards (# and br	ief description):				
Concept (Unpack NOUNS from standards): Students will know		Skills (Unpack VERBS from standards): Students will be able to			
How w		f students learne			
Required Common Formative Assessment for Data Collection: (must choose at least 1)	☐ Pre-Test/Anticipation Guide (minimum 10 standards-based questions/statements) ☐ Mid-Unit Assessment (ex: Benchmark test, quiz built from summative, min 10 quest.) ☐ Tasks Assessed with Rubrics (ex: writing samples, brief performance tasks, etc) ☐ "Almost There" Assessment (should "mirror" the common summative assessment in types & number of questions)				
Required Common	☐ Other: ☐ Summative Assessment				
Assessment:					
How will we determine if a student has not met, met, or exceeded expectations? SMART GOAL(S): (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Results oriented, Time-bound)					
For example,% of students		_			



SECTION 2: INSTRUCT

Learning Plan Pacing Guide – Indicate if this section is:	Common to PLC (fill in below)
	Individual by Teacher (separate document)

Day /Date	What am I learning today?	What am I going to do today?	How will I show that I learned it?	Differentiation (Address remedial, on-
Date	(Unpacked standards)	(Research-based strategies)	(Checking for	level, and accelerated
	NOUNS	VERBS	understanding)	students)
Day 1				
(date)				
Day 2				
(date)				
Day 3				
(date)				
Day 4				
(date)				
Day 5				
(date)				

^{*}Add on as needed by day in unit (day 6, 7...) or by week (days 1-5)

The above categories may include but are not limited to the following examples.

Activators	Teaching Strategies	Extending &	Summarizing	Differentiation
		Refining		
 Anticipation Guide Pretest Exploration or Experience Frayer Model Game Humor/Mystery KWL Make Predictions Student Learning Map Video/Music/Art Word Map or Word Splash Physical Demonstration Vocab/Literacy Activity Recall Picture/Diagram 	 Acronyms Distributed Guided Practice Distributed Summarizing Gallery Walk Graphic Organizer Lab Activity or Demonstration Cooperative learning/Jigsaw Lecture/Large Group Mind Maps Mnemonic Strategies Physical Movement Rhythm & Rhyme Small Group/Discussion Think-Pair-Share Modeling 	 Abstracting Analyze Perspective Classify/Categorize Compare/Contrast Constructing Support Deductive Reasoning Error Analysis Graphic Organizer Inductive Reasoning Media Presentation Question/Answer Guided Practice Independent Practice Simulation/Game Problem-based learning Think-Tac-Toe 	 3-2-1 Create organizer Collaborative pairs Cornell Notes Inner/Outer Circles KWL Learning Logs Physical Demonstration Picture/Drawing Reflection Questions Reporting Out Summarize EQs Think-Pair-Share Ticket Out the Door Independent Practice Review Game 	Instructional Delivery (Process/Content) Student Choice Tiered Learning Assignments Small group remediation Learning Styles Listening, Reading, Doing Monitoring & pacing instruction based on data Most Difficult First Flexible grouping Scaffold learning (incremental steps) Assessment (Product) Student product choice Menu/choice boards Varied assessments Learning Environment Motivating instruction per student needs Nurturing diversity to maximize student potential Flexible student-centered instruction

SECTION 3: ASSESS



Compare results of **COMMON** assessment: **Before the PLC meeting after the common assessment, it** is important that each teacher have their class averages and the student results for the predetermined standard/concept/question.

Each member of the PLC will list their <u>last name</u> & <u>overall student averages by level</u>. The group can compare class averages then discuss and record any major differences between teachers' results.

compare class average	ges then discuss and r	record any major diffe	erences between teach	ners' results.	
Name of Comm	non Formative A	Assessment:			
Student Averages by Teacher					
TEACHER	SPED/ESOL	ON-LEVEL	HONORS	AP	
What comes	mtalatom dondala		danta maat aana	aliaa9	
			dents most com	monly miss?	
	most commonly misse	ed:			
1.					
2. 3.					
3. 4.					
4. 5.					
3.					
Why die	d the students m	niss the standard	ls/concepts liste	d above?	
Discussion topics co			-		
How was the standard addressed in the question?					
Was it a poorly worded question?					
Was it due to method of instruction?					
	Best Practices	/Shared Instruc	tional Methods		
(All members should share after data is collected from common assessment.)					
Member Sharing	Best Practi	ice/Instructional Me	thod Used & Benefit	t to Students	

SECTION 4: REFLECT



Collaborative Reflection & Plan

Adjust delivery or redelivery of content by addressing individual student needs. Build on previous activities using a different approach from the one initially used while focusing on errors or gaps in student thinking.

Remediation/Enrichment could include:

- o Modifications/Accommodations/Enrichment activities that will be implemented
- o Differentiation and intervention strategies moving forward in the unit
- o Plan for flexible grouping based on item analysis assessment results
- o Include specialized instruction implemented for SPED/ESL students based on data
- o Providing individualized feedback that moves learning forward
- o Activating learners as instructional resources for one another
- * Include teacher names where methods vary
 - ✓ **RETEACH** Modification/Remediation plan for students who <u>did not meet</u> predetermined expectations ("Bottom 25%"):
 - Examples: co-teaching, flexible grouping, individualized plans, descriptive feedback on original assessment, computer tutorials/practice, manipulatives, modeling, chunking information, etc.
 - ✓ **REINFORCE** Modification/Enrichment plan for students who <u>met</u> predetermined expectations:
 - Examples: flexible grouping, extension activities, tiered lessons, descriptive feedback using rubrics, technology tools to increase achievement in weaker areas, self-assessment, peer review/tutoring, etc. Could include some RETEACH methods but less intensive or less time allotted.
 - ✓ **ENRICH** Modification/Enrichment plan for students who **exceeded** predetermined expectations:
 - Examples: vertical enrichment, building/assessing models, differentiation cube, challenge list, application activities, RAFT writing for real-world connections, independent projects, breakout boxes, etc.



Reassess Learning Results After remediation and enrichment occur, provide various opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning and understanding (oral explanation, pictures, etc.). Review the summative assessment data. Determine if the PLC SMART goal was met. | If YES, select a different priority standard and restart the unit plan process. | If NO, decide whether to extend the unit, integrate the standard into a future unit, or use an alternative intervention to continue instruction for students still not proficient. Plan: (For example, embed missed content into future units, retest most missed questions/concepts on future summative assessment, pull out remediation groups, etc)